

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/781,427	02/13/2001	Joseph P. Steiner	22789XA-T	3405
75	90 02/15/2002			
Gary M. Nath			EXAMINER	
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC 6th FLOOR			COOK, REBECCA	
1030 15th Stree Washington, DO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·· usimigion, b	20002		1614	

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/781,427**

Applicant(s)

Steiner et al

Examiner

Rebecca Cook

Art Unit 1614



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely. 	ation. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 17, 2</u>	
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	ion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 11-20	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) 11-14 and 17	is/are rejected.
7) 💢 Claim(s) <i>15, 16, and 18-20</i>	is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachment(s)	19) M. Intaniaus Summan, (DTO 412) Banar N-/->
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>C</u> 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 8	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/781,427 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

The amendment filed 12/17/01 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The amendment to page 4, following line 18. However, support is seen in the examples and original claims for an amendment for substituting the ester or amide at the C-2 carbon.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for some of the compounds, does not reasonably provide enablement for rapamycin. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. To the extent that intended use of a composition is patentable CA122:230322 discloses that rapamycin does not initiate hair growth.

Applicants' argument that the reference does not disclose that rapamycin is inoperative for treating alopecia is not persuasive in the absence of a showing in Declaration form.

In view of applicants' arguments and request to amend claim 20 to recite "compound" the earlier rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 paragraph two is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 1614

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-14 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CA128:43577 for the reason given in Paper No. 5. Applicants' copy of FK506, CA Reg. No. 104987-11-3 was not received. However, it is noted that CA128:43577 discloses CA Reg. No. 104987-11-3 in which an ester moiety is attached to the C-2 position of the piperidine moiety.

Claims 15-16, 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 1614

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 11 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5-6 of copending Application No. 09/784,174. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claim is obvious when the compound of '174 is an N-linked ketone.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

It is noted that applicant has not argued this rejection.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/781,427 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cook whose telephone number is (703) 308-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel, can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

February 14, 2002

REBECCA COOK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200